The R&E Tax Credit: Rationale, Structure, and Performance

Paul N. Doremus

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Strategic Planning & Economic Analysis Group

http://www.nist.gov/director/planning/strategicplanning.htm

Ref.: The Effectiveness of Research and Experimentation Tax Credits

http://www.wws.princeton.edu/~ota/ns20/alpha_f.html

Economic Rationale for R&D Policy: Broad Economic and Societal Gains

- Industry R&D generates economic growth
- Industry R&D can be a public good
 - R&D spillovers
 - Economic spillovers
- ⇒ Firms recoup some but not all of the benefits from their R&D investments

Economic Rationale for R&D Policy: Market Incentives Alone are Insufficient

- General undersupply of R&D
- Undersupply of R&D in specific areas
 - Inherently governmental missions and/or interests
 - Fundamental or basic research
 - Breakthrough, infrastructural, and multi-use technologies

Economic Rationale for a Tax Credit: The General Undersupply of R&D

- Purpose: get more R&D at the margin by augmenting R&D investment incentives for all firms
- Strategy: use tax credits to reduce the cost of capital for R&D
 - Credit offsets a portion of R&D costs
 - Lower costs raise the net present value of prospective research projects; more likely to meet corporate hurdle rate

Credit Structure: Incremental, not Fixed

- IRC Section 41: "Credit for increasing research activities"
- Mechanism: 20% tax credit for the portion of qualifiable R&D that exceeds base amount
- Key factor: The base period
 - Base amount: Product of fixed base percentage and average annual receipts over last 4 years
 - Fixed base percentage: Average R&D intensity
 (qualifiable R&D over sales) during 1984-1988.

Credit Structure: Other Major Provisions

Alternative Incremental Credit (1996)

- Purpose: make credit available to R&Dperforming firms that cannot effectively use main credit
- Structure: three credit levels--1.65, 2.2, & 2.75%. Rate based on extent to which qualifiable R&D exceeds a percentage of average gross sales over prior four years--by 1.0-1.5, 1.5-2.0, or 2.0+%, respectively
- "Basic research credit" (41(e))

Credit Performance: Does It Work?

- Econometric studies: Credit stimulated
 \$1+ in R&D for every \$1 in revenue cost
- Applicability varies. Most effective for:
 - Firms with rapidly increasing R&D expenditures
 - Firms with current R&D intensities that are higher than the 1984-1988 period. Some factors:
 - Change in level of sales relative to R&D
 - Change in structure of the firm
 - Corporate tax status

Credit Performance: Who Uses It?

- Large manufacturing firms (>\$250m) claim approximately 70% of the credit
- Relative magnitude: credit revenue cost equivalent to approximately 1.5% of corporate R&D funds
- Composition of corporate R&D (1997e):
 - 6% basic
 - 22% applied
 - 72% development

Credit Performance: Major Issues

- Permanence: Planning v. budget scoring
- Base period: Old; source of bias
- Coverage: Cost / inclusiveness / flexibility
 - Rates
 - Effective rate of general credit
 - Alternative incremental credit--much of an incentive?
 - Definition of qualifiable R&D
 - Treatment of multi-organization R&D
- Administrative cost and efficiency

Conclusions

- Good reasons for spending taxpayer resources to promote corporate R&D
- The incremental R&E tax credit is an effective policy response to a general undersupply of private R&D
- But it could be improved